

# A COMBINED DTI AND fMRI ANALYSIS OF THE NEURAL CORRELATES 🚺 OF IMPLICIT PROBABILISTIC SEQUENCE LEARNING

Ilana J. Bennett<sup>1</sup>, Jessica R. Simon<sup>1</sup>, David J. Madden<sup>2</sup>, Chandan J. Vaidya<sup>1,3</sup>, James H. Howard, Jr.<sup>1,4,5</sup>, Darlene V. Howard<sup>1</sup>

Department of Psychology, Georgetown University; <sup>2</sup>Center for the Study of Aging and Human Development, Duke University Medical Center; <sup>3</sup>Children's Research Institute, Children's National Medical Center; <sup>4</sup>Department of Psychology, The Catholic University of America; <sup>5</sup>Department of Neurology, Georgetown University Medical Center



#### INTRODUCTION IMPLICIT PROBABILISTIC SEOUENCE

- LEARNING describes non-conscious sensitivity to the frequency of sequential regularities
- For example, responding preferentially to a series of events that occur more frequently than others, without having explicit knowledge of this regularity

#### GRAY MATTER SUBSTRATES

- · Early, fast learning: Hippocampus, parahippocampal gyrus (e.g., Schendan et al., 2003)
- Late, slow learning: Striatum (caudate, putamen) (e.g., Dovon et al., 1997)
- WHITE MATTER is also important because it connects distributed gray matter regions involved in cognition (Mesulam, 1990)
- Integrity of white matter from underlying brain regions correlates with cognitive performance (e.g., Kennedy & Raz, 2009)

#### WHITE MATTER INTEGRITY may be an index of neural efficiency (Rypma et al., 2006)

May directly relate to neural activity in gray matter regions it connects

### AIMS OF THE PRESENT STUDY

- Is white matter integrity from tracts connecting gray matter substrates of implicit motor probabilistic sequence learning related to non-motor learning?
- Does white matter integrity from these tracts mediate the relationship between functional activity and learning?

## **METHOD**

#### PARTICIPANTS

- 10 younger adults (18.8 ± 0.6 years; 5 female)
- MRI PROTOCOL
- 3T Siemens Trio
- FUNCTIONAL MAGNETIC RESONANCE IMAGING (fMRI)
- Three T2\* sensitive gradient EPI runs per participant Parameters:
- 152 images/run, 42 axial slices, voxel size=4.0 x 4.0 x 3.7 mm, TR/TE=2500/30 ms, 90° flip angle, FOV=256 mm<sup>2</sup>
- Pre-processing:
- Realignment, spatial normalization to MPRAGE, spatial smoothing (8 mm) in SPM5

DIFFUSION TENSOR IMAGING (DTI)

- Two EPI sequences per participant
- Parameters:
- Diffusion weighted gradients b=0 and b=1000 s/mm<sup>2</sup> applied in 35 orthogonal directions
- 55 axial interleaved slices, 2.5 mm3 spatial resolution, TR/TE=7700/100 ms, FOV=240 mm<sup>2</sup>
- Pre-processing:
- Correct eddy current distortion (Eddycorrect), fit diffusion tensors to each voxel (DTIfit), and diffusion parameter estimation (BedpostX) using FSL's Diffusion Toolbox (Behrens, 2003; Smith et al., 2004)

#### PROBABILISTIC SEQUENCE LEARNING TRIPLETS TASK: Respond only to the Target in 1 of 3 Predictive locations with right hand 2nd order sequence structure - Predictive cue location probabilistically Random predicts Target location

- Random cue occurs at any location

 Triplet (runs of 3 events) probability - High-frequency triplets occurred more often than low-frequency triplets (probability ratio 8:2)

3) voxel-wise

correlations

minus Low

Measures

2 4 6 8

LEARNING MEASURE (Correlation coefficient between tripl frequency and reaction time \* (-1) 2.0 .15 OC 10 05 000 Target RUN 1 Response

# CORRELATIONS: BOLD ACTIVATION x TRIPLET LEARNING

## RUN 1 Negative (Run 1) L and R Hippocampus and positive (Run between BOLD activation from the High Frequency

Frequency GLM contrast and the Triplet Learning (p < .01 uncorrected, k=5)

> ADDITIONAL REGIONS: L parahippocampus, R ventral striatum, L putamen, and bilateral cerebellum



ADDITIONAL REGIONS: Bilateral premotor (BA 6), R parietal (BA 7), bilateral temporal (BA 21/22), bilateral occipital (BA 16/17), and bilateral cerebellum

# WHITE MATTER INTEGRITY: TRACTOGRAPHY

## FRACTIONAL ANISOTROPY (FA)

- Measure of white matter integrity that indicates directional coherence of water diffusion - Higher values indicating better integrity
- PROBABILISTIC FIBER TRACKING
- Using FSL's ProbtrackX (Behrens et al., 2003)
- Subcortical seed, frontal waypoint, and midline exclusion masks (in green) traced in standard space and registered to each individual's diffusion space
- Threshold tracts at 20% of each individual's maximum connectivity value
- Multiply thresholded tracts by each individual's FA map
- Average the FA values along each tract

IMAGES show tracts common to 90% of participants



HIPPOCAMPUS-FRONTAL

TRACT



CAUDATE-FRONTAL TRACT

RUN 3



 "Executive loop": DLPFC/ posterior parietal  $\rightarrow$  caudate  $\rightarrow$  GPi/SNr  $\rightarrow$  thalamus (Seger, 2006)

# CORRELATIONS: WHITE MATTER INTEGRITY x TRIPLET LEARNING

LEARNING	FA
Run 1	L caudate-frontal (r =73, $p < .02$ )
	R caudate-frontal (r =85, $p < .01$ )
	L hippocampus-frontal (r =67, $p < .04$ )
Run 3	R hippocampus-frontal (r = $66$ , $p < .04$ )

- Better implicit probabilistic sequence learning was associated with lower white matter integrity
- Factors other than myelin (e.g., crossing fibers, axonal diameter) may decrease FA, but not affect tract integrity (Tuch et al., 2005)
- Integrity of other tracts not examined here may positively correlate with this measure of learning

# **MEDIATION**

## EARLY LEARNING (RUN 1)

- Negatively correlated with BOLD activity in the striatum and hippocampus (among other regions)
- Negatively correlated with FA in the caudate-frontal and hippocampus-frontal tracts
- LATER LEARNING (RUN 3)
- Positively correlated with BOLD activity in the caudate and dorsolateral prefrontal cortex
- Negatively correlated with FA in the hippocampusfrontal tract

## MEDIATION

- Both BOLD activity and FA were significantly related to Triplet learning
- But BOLD activity in the regions assessed here was not related to FA in either tract for any run
- Thus, the requirements for mediation were not met in this relatively small young adult sample (Baron & Kenny, 1986)

# SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION

- Integrity of white matter tracts in the medial temporal and fronto-striatal learning systems was significantly associated with implicit non-motor probabilistic sequence learning
- Consistent with functional imaging results using the Triplet task (re-presented here and in Simon, CNS, 2008)
- However, white matter integrity in these tracts was not a significant mediator of learning-related BOLD activity
- Instead, white matter integrity and BOLD activity make separate contributions to learning
- FUTURE RESEARCH should continue to examine:
- The role of white matter integrity as a mediator of BOLD activity-cognitive performance relationships
- Complex structure-function interactions between the
- medial temporal and fronto-striatal learning systems

Cognitive Neuroscience Society + 16th Annual Meeting San Francisco, CA + May 2009 Email: ijb5@georgetown.edu Supported by NIH/NIA Grants R37AG15450 and F31 AG030874-01 and GCRC NIH/NCRR Grant M01 RR023942-01